March 7, 1991

The Honorable James D. Watkins
Secretary of Energy
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Secretary:

On March 7, 1991, the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, in accordance with Section 312(5) of Public Law 100-456, approved a recommendation which is enclosed for your consideration.

Section 315(A) of Public Law 100-456 requires the Board, after receipt by you, to promptly make this recommendation available to the public in the Department of Energy's regional public reading rooms. Please arrange to have this recommendation placed on file in your regional public reading rooms as soon as possible.

The Board will publish this recommendation in the Federal Register.

Sincerely,

John T. Conway
Chairman

Enclosure
RECOMMENDATION TO THE SECRETARY OF ENERGY
pursuant to Section 312(5) of the
Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Dated: March 7, 1991

Among other functions of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (Board), section 312 of the Atomic Energy Act requires that:

The Board shall review and evaluate the content and implementation of the standards relating to the design, construction, operation, and decommissioning of defense nuclear facilities of the Department of Energy (including all applicable Department of Energy orders, regulations, and requirements) at each Department of Energy defense nuclear facility. The Board shall recommend to the Secretary of Energy those specific measures that should be adopted to ensure that public health and safety are adequately protected. The Board shall include in its recommendations necessary changes in the content and implementation of such standards, as well as matters on which additional data or additional research is needed.

The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board is continuing its review of the adequacy of the content and implementation of applicable nuclear safety standards relating to the design, construction, operation, and decommissioning of defense nuclear facilities of the Department of Energy. This review is not confined to the area of standards as they are sometimes understood, such as those issued by standards organizations, but includes as well all applicable Department of Energy Orders and regulations, directives, and other requirements that fall within the Board's statutory oversight responsibility, 42 U.S.C. §2286a.

During 1990, the Board communicated to senior Department of Energy (DOE) personnel its preliminary concerns about the content and the implementation of currently available standards. The Board's previous Recommendation 90-2, dated March 8, 1990, addressed certain aspects of this subject. On several occasions since Recommendation 90-2 was issued, the Board and its staff have met with DOE representatives on this subject, including an in-depth briefing given to the Board, at the Secretary's direction, by three Assistant Secretaries, major Office Directors, and their staff on December 11, 1990. That briefing was arranged to provide an opportunity for senior DOE officials to present to the Board the Department's overall safety management philosophy and to demonstrate DOE's commitment to
fully implement Recommendation 90-2 and other aspects of its standards program. On February 13, 1991, in fulfillment of a commitment given to the Board at the briefing, DOE transmitted to the Board a schedule for completing the first phase of its nuclear safety rulemaking. In a cover letter accompanying the February 13, 1991, schedule, DOE stated that safety orders "will be issued concurrently with publication of the proposed rules for comment."

The Board remains concerned that progress in issuing standards within DOE is not being made rapidly enough to meet the priorities that the Secretary of Energy has articulated regarding the implementation of safety standards at DOE's defense nuclear facilities. Existing policy, infrastructure, and management priorities relating to the safety standards program may need alteration or refinement if nuclear safety requirements are to be issued, and more importantly, implemented, in a timely fashion. Therefore, the Board recommends:

1. that the Department expeditiously issue a formal statement of its overall Nuclear Safety Policy;

2. that increased attention be given to the qualifications and background of managers and technical staff assigned to the development and implementation of standards and that the numbers of personnel suited to this activity be increased commensurate with its importance;

3. that standards program officials be given direct access to the highest levels of DOE management;

4. that the Department critically reexamine its existing infrastructure for standards development and implementation at Headquarters to determine if organizational or managerial changes are needed to (1) emphasize the priority and importance of standards to assuring public health and safety; (2) expand the program to facilitate the rapid development and implementation of standards; and (3) streamline the DOE approval process for standards; and

5. that the Department reexamine the corresponding organizational units at DOE's principal Operations and Field
Offices and DOE contractor organizations to determine if those organizations' standards infrastructure, responsibilities and resources would also benefit from changes to reflect improvements at Headquarters which strengthen and expedite standards development and implementation.

In addition to these important organizational and management concerns, the Board's continuing review of the Savannah River standards program has resulted in identifying other standards issues which need to be addressed. In November 1990, the Board transmitted to the Secretary of Energy copies of a MITRE Corporation report, developed under the Board's direction and guidance, on the subject of Department of Energy standards imposed by Department Orders and supplements prepared by the Savannah River Operations Office. The MITRE report disclosed a number of deficiencies in the Department's Order program, many of which had previously been noted by other reviewing bodies.

Certain findings and conclusions reached by MITRE are of particular concern to the Board. Specifically, MITRE concluded that "the DOE Orders...lack the systematic approach and coherence necessary for understanding DOE's safety management philosophy." MITRE also concluded that "In many areas pertinent to safety, the DOE Orders do not provide specific requirements and supporting guidelines for implementing DOE's safety objectives...; a great deal is left to be defined and interpreted by the DOE contractor(s) operating the facilities."

In addition, MITRE concluded that "Certain DOE Orders that address topics important to safety do not focus on safety," and that "The DOE Orders require compliance with very few mandatory nuclear safety standards for existing reactors or nonreactor facilities." Therefore, the Board recommends:

6. that DOE review all the findings and conclusions of both the Executive Summary and of Volume 2 of the MITRE report, identify which findings and conclusions it considers valid and appropriate in DOE's Response to this set of Recommendations, and subsequently address those findings and conclusions in the Implementation Plan.

The Board has also noted that in DOE's restructuring of the hierarchy of orders, directives, and requirements governing the performance expected of the Department and its contractors, DOE is proceeding with the simultaneous development of rules and DOE orders. Following formal adoption of rules and the issuance of related DOE orders, revised directives and other requirements are to be issued. Recognizing the immediacy of need, one such
directive has already been issued as an Immediate Action Directive (IAD). In view of DOE's decision to proceed with rulemaking as the means for addressing some of the subjects appropriate for articulation of Department requirements, the Board recommends:

7. that DOE expedite the issuance of revised safety orders, directives, or other requirements as a means of addressing the need for substantive guidance on the wide variety of safety requirements, while DOE is promulgating rules.

John T. Conway, Chairman
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Strengthening the Nuclear Safety Standards Program for DOE's Defense Nuclear Facilities

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

SUMMARY: The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board has made a recommendation to the Secretary of Energy pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 2286a concerning strengthening the nuclear safety standards program for DOE's defense nuclear facilities. The Board requests public comments on this recommendation.

DATES: Comments, data, views, or arguments concerning this recommendation are due on or before April 12, 1991.

ADDRESSES: Send comments, data, views, or arguments concerning this recommendation to: Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, 625 Indiana Avenue, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC 20004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kenneth M. Penster or Carole J. Council, at the address above or telephone (202) 209-0400.

Department of the Army

Army Science Board; Closed Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 88-377), announcement is made of the following Committee Meeting:

Name of the Committee: Army Science Board (ASB).

Time: 0900-1500 Hours.
Place: Fort Gordon, Georgia.

Agenda: Members of the C31 Issue Group of the Army Science Board will meet at Fort Gordon, Georgia to continue work on the follow-On Radio to SINCGARS. This meeting will address details of the emerging requirements for an objective combat net radio, the process and analysis which support the requirement, and the prototyped and projected threat against which the new radio must operate. This meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with section 552b(c) of title 5, U.S.C., specifically paragraph (3) thereof, and title 15, U.S.C., appendix 2, subsection 10(d). The classified and unclassified matters and proprietary information to be discussed are so restricted as to preclude opening any portion of this meeting.
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require curtailment of continuing operations while a site-wide EIS is being prepared. The DOE does not intend to delay its decision on resumption of plutonium pit manufacturing at the RFP until completion of the updated Site-

WIDE EIS.

A narrative regarding the possible relocation of weapons production functions now performed at the RFP will be addressed in a DOE Programmatic EIS (PEIS) addressing reconfiguration of the DOE nuclear weapons complex and will not be included in this EIS. The notice of intent (NOI) for the Recordation PEIS was published on February 11, 1991 (58 FR 5990). Similarly, issues concerning Department-wide long-term environmental restoration and waste management policies and practices will be assessed in a separate DOE PEIS on these subjects. The NOI for the DOE environmental restoration and waste management PEIS was published on October 22, 1990 (55 FR 42633).

Additional NEPA reviews for proposed projects at the RFP may be tiered from the final Site-wide EIS or PEIS, as appropriate. Individual environmental restoration projects subject to this Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) may be the subject of integrated NEPA/CERCLA documents as provided in DOE Order 5400.4. These documents will address the impacts of individual cleanup actions as the actions are planned.

**Public Information Meeting:**

DOE will hold a public information meeting on April 4, 1991, at the Westminster City Park Recreation Center, 10455 N. Sheridan Blvd, Westminster, Colorado, from 7 to 9 p.m. The purpose of this meeting is to give the public an opportunity to obtain information and have questions answered regarding the proposed EIS and to facilitate public participation in the EIS scoping process.

**Scoping Process:**

Public scoping meetings are scheduled on April 8 and April 11, 1991, from 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. with breaks from 12 to 1 p.m. and 5 to 6:30 p.m. each day, at the following locations:

1. April 8, 1991, Jefferson County Commissions Hearing Room, 1400 Arapahoe Street, Golden, Colorado

The purpose of the scoping meetings is to receive public input on the Site-

WIDE EIS scope, thereby assisting DOE in determining the appropriate range of impacts and environmental issues to be considered in the EIS. The meetings will be chaired by a presiding officer. The meetings will not be conducted as evidentiary hearings and there will not be cross-examination of the speakers; however, the presiding officer may ask for clarification of statements made to ensure that attendees fully understand the comments and suggestions. The presiding officer will establish the order of speaker and provide any additional procedures necessary for the conduct of the meetings. To ensure that all persons wishing to make presentations can be heard, a 5-minute limit for each individual speaker will be used as a guideline. People who do not pre-register to speak may register at the meeting. They will be scheduled to speak, as time permits, after all previously scheduled speakers have been given an opportunity to make their presentations.

Written and oral comments will be given equal weight in determining the scope of the EIS. Anyone wishing to provide written comments may submit such comments to DOE at the public scoping meeting or at the address listed below. Written comments postmarked by April 29, 1991, will be considered by DOE in the preparation of the EIS. Written comments postmarked after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

The DOE will prepare transcripts of the scoping meetings. The public may review the transcripts, written comments, reference material, related NEPA documents, and background information on the Rocky Flats Plant during normal business hours at the following DOE public reading room:


The Rocky Flats Public Reading Room, Front Range Community College Library, 3645 West 112th Avenue, Westminster, Colorado 80030, (303) 469-4355.

Following the completion of the public scoping process, an EIS Implementation Plan will be prepared that summarizes the comments received and describes the intended scope of the EIS. The EIS implementation Plan is scheduled to be issued in Summer 1991 and will be publicly available.

The publication schedule for the draft will be included in the EIS Implementation Plan. The availability of the draft EIS will be announced in the Federal Register and local media, and...

---

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**Intention to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and to Conduct Public Scoping Meetings; Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, CO**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Energy (DOE) announces its intent to prepare a Site-wide Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on the operations at the Rocky Flats Plant (RFP) located near Golden, in Jefferson County, Colorado. The RFP Site-wide EIS will be prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (42 4232 et seq.), as amended, in accordance with the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500-1506) and the DOE NEPA guidelines (58 FR 47062, December 15, 1992).

The DOE also announces public scoping meetings in conjunction with developing the EIS. The Site-wide EIS will identify and assess potential impacts and also present a full evaluation of the cumulative environmental impacts of current operations and reasonably foreseeable future actions, including proposed near-term (within 5 to 10 years) projects and near-term environmental restoration activities at the RFP. NEPA does not...