

AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD

SUBJECT: Amendment by Board Member, Sean Sullivan to Yellow Folder Doc#2015-009 FY2015 Staffing Plan

Doc Control#2015-009E

~~The Board, with Board Member(s) *approving*, Board Member(s) none *disapproving*, Board Member(s) none *abstaining*, and Board Member(s) none *recusing*, have voted to the above document on.~~

This document failed due to lack of a quorum vote.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	RECUSAL	<u>NO VOTE*</u>	COMMENT	DATE
Peter S. Winokur	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11/04/14
Jessie H. Roberson	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11/06/14
Sean Sullivan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	00/00/00

*Reason for "No Vote: No response within allotted time.

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.



Executive Secretary to the Board

Attachments:

1. Voting Summary
2. Board Member Vote Sheets

cc: Board Members
OGC
OGM Records Officer
OTD

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Peter S. Winokur, Ph.D.

SUBJECT: Amendment by Board Member, Sean Sullivan to Yellow Folder Doc#2015-009 FY2015 Staffing Plan

Doc Control#2015-009E

Approved _____ Disapproved X Abstain _____

Recusal – Not Participating _____

COMMENTS: Below X Attached _____ None _____

This would be a major effort which is not included in the OGM Work Plan. As an independent federal agency with a safety-oversight mission and FTE numbers above 100 but under 150, the Board is somewhat unique. As such, comparisons of organizational structure based on the criteria of federal agencies of a “similar size” (i.e., medium, as determined by OPM) may be misleading and may be of limited use. For example, using agency size as the criteria for the assessment, the Board would be comparing itself to federal agencies like the Office of Special Counsel, U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum, Federal Maritime Commission, and Federal Labor Relations Authority. Clearly, the difference in missions and funding mechanisms may limit the usefulness of assessing the Board against these agencies.



Peter S. Winokur, Ph.D.

11-4-14

Date

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD
NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Jessie H. Roberson

SUBJECT: Amendment by Board Member, Sean Sullivan to Yellow Folder Doc#2015-009 FY2015 Staffing Plan

Doc Control#2015-009E

Approved _____

Disapproved _____

Abstain

Recusal - Not Participating _____

COMMENTS:

Below

Attached _____

None _____

* The Board contracted to have a work force analysis done in the 2011/2012 time frame. I believe picking up where that study concluded can greatly simplify the work necessary to respond to Mr. Sullivan's request. So to be clear, if this is another bottom's up study I do not support, however, if it is a high level analysis that builds on the recent work force analysis I would consider supporting it. Since it is not clear which is intended I am abstaining.

Jessie H. Roberson

Date

Nov. 6, 2014