## AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD (VOICE VOTE)

September 26, 2017 Public Business Meeting, 12:00 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.

Subject: Motion to close Recommendation 2014-1.

Document Control #:2017-200-026

The Board, with Board Member(s) Sean Sullivan, Bruce Hamilton, Joyce L. Connery, Jessie Roberson and Daniel J. Santos voting as indicated below, has voted to <u>approve</u> the above question on September 26, 2017.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICIPATING*	DATE
Sean Sullivan					9/26/17
<b>Bruce Hamilton</b>	$\boxtimes$				9/26/17
Jessie Roberson	$\boxtimes$				9/26/17
Daniel J. Santos					9/26/17
Joyce L. Connery		$\boxtimes$			9/26/17

<sup>\*</sup>Reason for Not Participating:

This Record contains a summary of the voice voting on this matter by the Board Members

Notes: The Board decided via unanimous consent to hold the record open for 3 business days for Board Member written comments.

Recorded By:

James P. Biggins

**General Counsel** 

**Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board** 

## DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Jessie H. I	Roberson	
	Motion to cl	lose Recommendation 20 26	14-1
Approved_	_X =	Disapproved	Abstain
Recusal – N	ot Participat	ing	
COMMENT	ΓS: Bel	ow_X Attached	None
	designed to better pre	o require readiness assur pared and trained work f	cy Preparedness and Respon- rance evaluations, corrective a force in defense nuclear facility

Recommendation 2014-1, Emergency Preparedness and Response, was designed to require readiness assurance evaluations, corrective actions, and a better prepared and trained work force in defense nuclear facilities in response to design basis and severe/beyond design basis events. It was designed based on lessons learned from the emergency response at Fukushima nuclear facilities during an earthquake followed by a tsunami in 2011 and the DOE's own complex wide review, during 2012, conducted in response to lessons learned from those events. The emergency response at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant further validated the need for the Recommendation based on the response during two February, 2014 events in the underground.

DOE issued an updated Order, Comprehensive Emergency Management System in 2016, incorporating needed changes. Actual operational performance and assurance activities are lagging the Department's scheduled plan developed in response to the Recommendation.

I support closing this Recommendation at this time because it is being viewed as a general programmatic catch all and not being used as a tool to drive specific actions proposed to effect emergency response readiness assurance in defense nuclear facilities.

Jessie H. Roberson

Date

ARCHIVE: 2017-200-026, Motion to close Recommendation 2014-1

## DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Joyce L. Connery				
SUBJECT:	Motion to close Recommendation 2014-1				
Doc Control#	22017-200-026				
Approved	Disapproved_X Abstain				
Recusal - No	t Participating				
COMMENTS	S: Below_X Attached None				
meeting, it was issues enumer Response cap answer was the would not least concern raise before the fin hearing it has opportunity to even elements	approve of the proposal to close Recommendation 2014-1. During the public as opined that keeping the Recommendation opened would not address the rated in the Recommendation regarding the Emergency Preparedness and abilities at Defense Nuclear Facilities. When pressed, however, the clearer hat the implementation plan that the Department of Energy was following d to the improvements necessary to address the issues and that the areas of d by the Recommendation are still valid. Abandoning this Recommendation al deliverable and before the Board has had an opportunity to hold the d planned with Department of Energy officials and field offices is a missed o get to the heart of the structural issues that the Board, the Board staff, and sof the Department itself have observed in this area over a number of years.				
and complete decision of the completed an	the Secretary of Energy is required by the Atomic Energy Act to carry out the implementation plan. It is therefore my expectation that despite the e Board to close the Recommendation, that the implementation plan will be d that, pursuant to the Board's oversight authority, the Board will continue to additional outcomes.				
	Joyce L. Connery				
	provide 10/2/17  Date vote plan 9/21/17				
	Date vote plan 9/21/17				