AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD

SUBJECT: Amendment by Board Member, Sean Sullivan to Yellow Folder Doc#2015-029 Request for Board Action by OGC to Amend Board Procedures to define Majority Vote

Doc Control#2015-029A

The Board, with Board Member(s) Jessie Roberson, Sean Sullivan approving, Board Member(s) Peter S. Winokur disapproving, Board Member(s) none abstaining, and Board Member(s) none recusing, have voted to approve the above document on December 16, 2014.

The votes were recorded as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>APRVD</th>
<th>DISAPRVD</th>
<th>ABSTAIN</th>
<th>RECUSAL</th>
<th>NO VOTE*</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter S. Winokur</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>12/15/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessie H. Roberson</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>12/16/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sean Sullivan</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>12/12/14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reason for "No Vote"

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.

Executive Secretary to the Board

Attachments:
1. Voting Summary
2. Board Member Vote Sheets

cc: Board Members, OGC, OGM Records Officer, OTD
The original Request for Board Action from OGC, including the Background Section, are clear and address the concern raised by GAO to clarify what constitutes a “majority” of votes required to approve a Board action. I don’t see a need for this amendment.
DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD
NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Jessie H. Roberson

SUBJECT: Amendment by Board Member, Sean Sullivan to Yellow Folder Doc#2015-029 Request for Board Action by OGC to Amend Board Procedures to define Majority Vote

Doc Control#2015-29A

Approved ☒ Disapproved ____ Abstain ____

Recusal – Not Participating____

COMMENTS: Below ☒ Attached ____ None ____

This change is being made to resolve or clarify a potential issue in the Board’s procedures identified by OGC and the GAO. Since the procedures are public I have no objection to attempting, which is what I see the amendment aiming for, to ensure the basis for the changes to the procedures can also be public without significant redaction.

Jessie H. Roberson
Dec 16, 2014
Date
DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD
NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: Sean Sullivan
SUBJECT: Amendment by Board Member, Sean Sullivan to Yellow Folder Doc#2015-029 Request for Board Action by OGC to Amend Board Procedures to define Majority Vote

Doc Control#2015-29A

Approved X Disapproved ____ Abstain ____
Recusal – Not Participating____

COMMENTS: Below X Attached ____ None ____

The amendment revises the background information to remove potentially privileged information. The information removed is not necessary. The amended background is entirely fact based and sufficient for an informed vote on Doc#2015-029.

There exists a sentiment holding that information contained in staff-initiated requests for Board action should not be subject to amendment by Board Members. That sentiment is misplaced. Under the Board Procedures, the final arbiter of the specific content placed before the Board for vote is either an individual Member (in the case of a request for Board action by that Board Member) or the Board itself (in the case of a request for Board action by staff). The Board should not cede that authority.

Sean Sullivan
12/12/14
Date