

AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD**SUBJECT: DRAFT Response to 15-181****Doc Control#2015-083**


The Board, with Board Member(s) Jessie H. Roberson, Sean Sullivan, Daniel J. Santos *approving*, Board Member(s) none *disapproving*, Board Member(s) none *abstaining*, and Board Member(s) none *recusing*, have voted to approve the above document on April 13, 2015.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	RECUSAL	<u>NO VOTE*</u>	COMMENT	DATE
Jessie H. Roberson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	04/13/2015				
Sean Sullivan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	04/10/2015				
Daniel J. Santos	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	04/10/2015				

*Reason for "No Vote

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.



Executive Assistant to the Board

Attachments:

1. Voting Summary
2. Board Member Vote Sheets

cc: Board Members
OGC
OGM Records Officer
OTD

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD
NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET


FROM: Jessie H. Roberson

SUBJECT: DRAFT Response to 15-181

Doc Control#2015-083

Approved Disapproved _____ Abstain _____
Recusal – Not Participating _____

COMMENTS: Below _____ Attached _____ None



Jessie H. Roberson
04/13/2015

Date

**DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD
NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET**

FROM: Sean Sullivan
SUBJECT: DRAFT Response to 15-181

Doc Control# 2015-083

Approved X Disapproved _____ Abstain _____
Recusal – Not Participating _____

COMMENTS: Below X Attached _____ None _____

I would have accepted GAO recommendation number 5 which would have the Board clearly distinguish in our Federal Register notices between hearings held pursuant to our enabling statute and meetings subject to the Sunshine in Government Act. I see no point in continuing a practice that may potentially confuse people simply to retain the flexibility to deliberate during hearings. To my knowledge, the Board has never deliberated during hearings, nor has any Board Member ever indicated a desire to do so. There is no point in retaining a flexibility that is not likely to be used. Accepting the recommendation would not have precluded the Board from noticing a combined hearing and meeting for any occasion where we actually intended to take testimony and deliberate.



Sean Sullivan

4/10/15

Date

Alicia Proctor

From: Daniel J. Santos
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2015 3:53 PM
To: Alicia Proctor
Cc: Lotus Smith
Subject: Re: Notational Vote: Doc#2015-083 DRAFT Response to 15-181

Approved without comments.

From: Alicia Proctor
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2015 3:23 PM
To: Jessie Roberson; Sean Sullivan; Daniel J. Santos
Cc: Alicia Proctor; Lotus Smith
Subject: Notational Vote: Doc#2015-083 DRAFT Response to 15-181

This email is an electronic record of Notational Vote. Voting ballot will follow shortly. Also, accepting electronic votes.

**DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD
NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET**

FROM: Members of the Board
RE: DRAFT Response to 15-181

15-083 DRAFT Response to 15-181

/ed_____

Not Participating_____

NTS:

None_____

Alicia Proctor
Executive Assistant
Office of the Chairman
Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board
625 Indiana Ave, NW, STE 700
Washington, DC 20004
Phone: [REDACTED]