AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD

SUBJECT: Idaho National Laboratory Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis

Doc Control#2015-023

The Board, with Board Member(s) Sean Sullivan approving, Board Member(s) Peter S. Winokur, Jessie H. Roberson disapproving, Board Member(s) none abstaining, and Board Member(s) none recusing, have voted to disapprove the document on December 10, 2014.

The votes were recorded as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APRVD</th>
<th>DISAPRVD</th>
<th>ABSTAIN</th>
<th>RECUSAL</th>
<th>NO VOTE*</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter S. Winokur</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessie H. Roberson</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sean Sullivan</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reason for "No Vote"

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.

Attachments:
1. Voting Summary
2. Board Member Vote Sheets

cc: Board Members
OGC
OGM Records Officer
OTD

Executive Secretary to the Board
I am concerned about the tone of this letter. I could support the letter without the amendment that was recently agreed to by the Board.
Based on my evaluation of the proposed communication, I cannot support the proposed action. I note the following key concerns included in the Staff Issue Report:

1) The 2010 sensitivity analysis isn’t consistent with the NPHA & DC Standard issued in 2012.
2) They have a plan to conduct studies to refine their path forward, an approach that is consistent with their standard.
3) Their plan keeps moving to the right and based on their contractor’s current plan, which continues to slip, their sensitivity analysis is out of date and they are approaching 20 years, even with the partial update in 2000, since their PHSA was updated.
4) The Board is telling DOE that DOE’s own evaluation team concluded in 2010 that the PHSA needed to be updated.

These are all important pieces of information. However, I am unclear if the Board is making an informal recommendation to the Secretary, we are sharing our view of their actions based on our vantage point or our own analyses, or we are asking for information. I expect DOE will simply send the DNFSB their current plan and any future schedule updates, which we already have.
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Approved ☑               Disapproved     Abstain
Recusal – Not Participating

COMMENTS: Below ___ Attached ___ None ☑

Signature: Sean Sullivan
Date: 12/8/14