DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

MEMORANDUM FOR:	J. K. Fortenberry, Technical Director
FROM:	T. Dwyer and H. Waugh, Pantex Site Representatives
SUBJECT:	Pantex Plant Activity Report for Week Ending April 7, 2000

DNFSB Activity Summary: H. Waugh was on site all week. T. Dwyer was on leave on Monday, and on site Tuesday-Friday. D. Burnfield was on site Tuesday-Friday for the ISMSV.

Integrated Safety Management System (ISMS) Verification Relook: This week, a DOE team evaluated the ISMS description, and the implementing manuals and codes of practice for the Pantex Plant, as part of a planned 2-week relook. [The original ISMS Verification took place in August-September 1998.] The team appears to be adequately addressing the major issues associated with sitewide ISMS documentation. Those major issues being developed include:

- 1. The full scope of Pantex Plant activities is not addressed in the system description.
- 2. The terminology used in the system description is inconsistent with adopted standards/requirements (e.g., hazards, hazard categories).
- 3. The various types of hazard analyses require better integration, including defining "clear roles and responsibilities," and clarifying the definition of "line management."
- 4. The consistency/flow down of processes/responsibilities in the system description, as well as among the various implementing manuals (e.g., plant standards), requires improvement.
- 5. There are gaps and inconsistencies in the requirements passed down through the S/RIDs.
- 6. Some implementing manuals (e.g., plant standards, procedures) lacked the necessary details. The potential contractor change is also of concern -- it may require repeating this review.^[II.A]

<u>Pit Issues:</u> On Tuesday, AAO conducted their final walkdown of the relocated repackaging line [originally located in Building 12-99], countersigned the readiness checklists (PX-3322As), and approved the revision to the Master Authorization Agreement. By Thursday, the relocated repackaging line was in full operation. Shut down of the original Building 12-64 repackaging line has been delayed to allow M&H to establish a nominal 2-line, 2-shift throughput rate. The original line will still have to be shut down for ~1 week for vacuum systems upgrades at some point, but M&H expects to achieve sustained 2-line, 2-shift operations for the entire month of May. Throughput this week was 22 [a significant improvement], for a total of 572.^[II.A]

Lightning Issues: M&H has delivered the Lightning BIO and associated TSR/ABCD changes to AAO for review. AAO will try to complete their review in preparation for signature by the AAO Manager on Wednesday, April 12th. M&H has also submitted the required *Lightning BIO Controls Implementation Plan*. Per this plan, <u>all</u> lightning-related controls are to be formally implemented by May 11th, with a contractor review (essentially a Readiness Assessment) occurring May 11th-18th, and an AAO review commencing May 26th.^[II.A]

<u>**Groundwater Issues:**</u> On Thursday, DOE publicly released 2 interim reports to the Secretary of Energy regarding reviews triggered by the trichloroethylene (TCE) contamination discovered in the Ogallala Aquifer. Copies of the Office of Environmental Management (EM) report, *Protecting the Ogallala Aquifer*, and the Office of Environment, Safety, and Health (EH) report, *Pantex Ground Water Monitoring and Reporting Program Review*, have been obtained and forwarded to the Board's staff. Interestingly, the EH report identifies clear ISMS deficiencies associated with the site's groundwater management and reporting activities.^[I,B]